Africa Shared Research Agenda
Report Launch
30 November 2023
# ASRA LAUNCH AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME (EAT)</th>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:00-14:15</td>
<td>Opening and Welcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:15-14:25</td>
<td>Developing the Africa Shared Research Agenda: Our approach and process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:25-14:45</td>
<td>ASRA Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45-14:55</td>
<td>Questions and Answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:55-15:20</td>
<td>Reflections on the relevance and role of ASRA: Panel Discussion:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chi Chi Undie, Population Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Manuela Balliet Ahogo, Together for Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Natsnet Ghebrebrhan, Raising Voices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Elizabeth Dartnall, SVRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Madam Josiane Lina Bemaka Soui, Advisor Minister for the Presidency for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual Violence in Conflict and Protection, Central African Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:20 -15:30</td>
<td>Appreciation and Closing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developing Shared Research Priorities

- Global Shared Research Agenda
- East Asia Pacific
- Latin-American Shared Research Agenda
- VAC and VAW Intersections Shared Research Agenda
- Technology-Facilitated Gender-Based Violence
- Africa Shared Research Agenda
- Child Sexual Violence Shared Research Agenda
- GBV and Higher Education Institutions
For too long research agendas have been set by too few, often the loudest, most senior in the room.
Why Develop the African Shared Research Agenda?

1. Identify evidence gaps
2. Assist research planning and fundraising
3. Serve as an advocacy tool
4. Serve as a monitoring tool
5. Guide grant-making in the region

Draws from the Global Shared Research Agenda for VAWG: [www.svri.org/documents/global-shared-research-agenda-vawg](http://www.svri.org/documents/global-shared-research-agenda-vawg)
Advantages of CHNRI methodology

- Provides a systematic method for priority setting
- Measures collective optimism of technical experts
- Advantages and disadvantages of each research option become transparent, and the scores are intuitive
- Transparently presents opinions of many technical experts on all research options: limits personal bias and prevents a few individuals from dominating the process
- Presents a simple quantitative outcome
Adapting & Evolving CHNRI

“This is the first process I’ve been involved in that seems to take decolonizing research very seriously” (GEG, GSRA)
The Process

1. Scoping review
   - Advisory Group – domains and criteria

2. Question gathering

3. Consolidate questions
   - Advisory Group & Regional Expert Group Consultations

4. Scoring, analysis, report writing

5. Advisory Group Meeting to present findings

6. Wide dissemination
Five domains

Domain 1: Understanding GBV
Domain 2: GBV response interventions
Domain 3: GBV prevention interventions
Domain 4: GBV prevention and response at scale
Domain 5: Measures and methodologies
Criteria used for Question Ranking

**Answerability**: the likelihood that the research question can be answered and/or reach its objective within the proposed timeline.

**Applicability**: the likelihood that the knowledge produced through the proposed research will be applied in policy and practice.

**Maximum potential impact**: some research ideas will have a theoretical potential to reduce VAWG more than others.
Ranking instead of scoring questions

- Traditional CHNRI method produces scores based on applying the selected criteria to each research question.
- In past research priority setting exercises supported by SVRI, this approach was found to be complex and time intensive.
- So instead, we used a ranking approach — we asked experts to rank questions in each domain according to three criteria.
Addition of qualitative methods

- In addition to the question gathering survey, we conducted focus group discussions and key informant interviews with experts working on GBV:
  - Against LGBTIQ+ people
  - Against women and girls living with HIV/AIDS
  - Against women and girls with disabilities
  - In Francophone countries in the region
Findings of the question ranking survey
186 people completed the question ranking survey.
Domain 1: Top 3 questions

- Top 3 questions are broadly consistent across expert groups, although some variation in 4th and 5th ranked questions among experts identifying as non-binary or LGBTQI+ or those having a disability.
- Consistent ranking of questions across African sub-regions, but large differences for experts from HICs (e.g., climate change; and traditional, religious influence over norms).

Domain 1 - 1st

What are the types and prevalence of GBV specifically affecting women and girls with disabilities, and women and girls living with HIV/AIDS, including sexual violence, early marriage, structural violence (e.g., denied access to health, education and legal services) and obstetric violence (e.g., forced contraception or sterilisation)?

Domain 1 - 2nd

Which social and gender norms, including notions of masculinity, influence (negatively or positively) the perpetration of GBV?

Domain 1 - 3rd

What are the prevalence, risk and protective factors for online GBV in Africa (e.g., blackmail, cyberbullying, revenge porn, harassment, doxing, catfishing, etc), including among marginalised groups and those facing intersecting forms of discrimination, and what are the linkages and differences between online and offline GBV?
Top three questions broadly consistent across expert groups. Much more variation among experts identifying as non-binary, LGBTQI+ or those with a disability.

Some regional variations - Question about circumcision not highly ranked in Southern Africa, and ranked first for HIC experts. **BUT note that evidence suggests that sanctions and incentives are not effective, although first part of the question is still relevant.**
Domain 3: Top 3 questions

- Strong consistency in top two ranked questions across almost all expert groups — some variation among experts working in universities/research or government.
- Experts in HICs ranked in second place a question related to GBV prevention among women and girls with disabilities.
- University/research experts ranked in second place a question about the characteristics and motivations of perpetrators and the most successful prevention interventions to target them.

Domain 3 - 1st
Which interventions working with religious and/or traditional leaders, or other social structures strongly imbued with patriarchy, have been most successful in preventing GBV and why?

Domain 3 - 2nd
Which local, indigenous community interventions have been developed and used to prevent GBV, and how successful have they been?

Domain 3 - 3rd
What is the impact of technology and mass communication, including media, social media and online modalities, on GBV prevention and behaviour change, including in rural and other hard to reach settings?
Domain 4: Top 3 questions

- Top 2 ranked questions consistent across most expert groups, except among non-binary and HIC experts.
- Few ranking differences across African sub-regions, but HIC rankings vary
- A question about the impact of anti-gender movements on GBV prevention and response, and the best strategies to counter these movements, had a low ranking overall, but was higher for non-binary and HIC experts, and those working for local/national NGOs, CBOs and governments.

Domain 4 – 1st
Which GBV prevention interventions, including social norms change and couples interventions, can/should be scaled in low resource and rural environments?

Domain 4 – 2nd
How can community-level infrastructure and community-based organisations supporting GBV prevention and response be strengthened, professionalised and taken to scale through government systems, particularly in low resource settings?

Domain 4 – 3rd
What are the most cost-effective and sustainable GBV prevention and response interventions in the African region?
Domain 5 has most consistency and agreement in ranking of questions across expert groups. Two main variations:

- Experts in bilateral/multilateral/UN agencies ranked in third place a question about methodologies for assessing the impact of social norms and behaviour change interventions.
- HIC and university/research experts ranked in third place a question about how international methodologies and measures of GBV can be adapted and standardised in Africa.

### Domain 5 - 1st
How can we improve research methods to increase the accuracy of data and reporting of GBV?

### Domain 5 - 2nd
How can we incorporate indigenous knowledge production and practices in the conduct of high-quality ethical research on GBV?

### Domain 5 - 3rd
What are the most effective and safest strategies, methods and tools for reaching and measuring GBV against LGBTQI+ people, including in population-based and general population surveys, especially in settings where LGBTQI+ relationships and identities are criminalised and persecuted?
Key learnings

- There was widespread agreement among experts across all five domains.
- Emerging themes include emphasis on research and interventions that focus on marginalised populations, address social norms, and engage local indigenous structures and forms of knowledge in prevention and response.
- It is important to be flexible in adapting the process as it develops.
- Using mixed methods in priority setting ensures meaningful participation from marginalised and under-represented groups.
**Key learnings**

- Despite being inclusive and democratic, prioritisation exercises can also be biased and may be established with political intentions rather than scientific ones.

- Priority setting exercises that draw on the ‘wisdom of the crowd’ also need to be read alongside existing evidence/literature (e.g., existing evidence on efficacy of standalone communications campaigns; the essential components of effective GBV prevention programmes; FGM prevention).

- There is a need to curate and disseminate current evidence, and the findings from various priority setting exercises, in accessible ways to ensure we build on existing knowledge.

- There is a fine balance between limiting bias and increasing participation.
Panel Discussion: Reflections on the relevance and role of ASRA:

- **Chi Chi Undie**, Population Council
- **Manuela Balliet Ahogo**, Together for Girls
- **Natsnet Ghebrebrhan**, Raising Voices
- **Elizabeth Dartnall**, Sexual Violence Research Initiative
- **Madam Josiane Lina Bemaka Soui**, Advisor Minister for the Presidency for Sexual Violence in Conflict and Protection, Central African Republic
Next Steps and Appreciation

Thank you for your contributions to developing the ASRA!
Access the Africa Shared Research Agenda at:

Africa Regional Agenda | Sexual Violence Research Initiative (svri.org)

What we do: Ending violence against women | UN Women – Africa